A Review of Connected and Automated Vehicle Traffic Flow Models for Next-Generation Intelligent Transportation Systems

Priyadarshan Patil
The University of Texas at Austin
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8747-4679

Abstract

Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) technology is a rapidly developing field that is expected to transform the transportation industry. This study provides an overview of traffic flow models for Connected and Automated Vehicles (CAVs). The study explores the different levels of automation in CAVs and discuss the strengths and limitations of three categories of traffic flow models: microscopic, mesoscopic, and macroscopic. The article highlights that while microscopic models provide a high level of detail and accuracy, they require significant data input and computational resources, making them difficult to scale up to large networks or regions. Mesoscopic models are more computationally efficient but still provide useful detail and can simulate traffic flow over a larger area than microscopic models. Macroscopic models, while most computationally efficient, may not capture the effects of specific traffic management strategies or provide the level of detail necessary to capture individual vehicle movements and driver behaviors. The study emphasizes the need to take into account other factors that can influence CAV traffic flow, such as human-driven vehicles, road infrastructure, and communication protocols. By providing insights into the strengths and weaknesses of each approach, this article aims to facilitate the development of next-generation Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) that effectively manage traffic flow and fully realize the potential of CAVs.

Keywords: Automated, Connected, Macroscopic, Mesoscopic, Microscopic, Traffic Flow Models, Transportation Industry.

Introduction

Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) technology is a game-changing innovation that is expected to transform the transportation industry in the years to come. The development and implementation of this technology promise to improve the overall safety of road transportation, reduce traffic congestion, and enhance mobility for people and goods. By enabling vehicles to communicate with each other and with the surrounding infrastructure, CAVs can provide a wide range of benefits that are not possible with traditional vehicles.

With automated vehicles, human errors such as distracted driving, speeding, and drunk driving can be eliminated. CAVs use a variety of sensors, cameras, and other technologies to detect potential hazards and respond quickly to avoid accidents. In addition, they can communicate with other vehicles and infrastructure to coordinate movements and optimize traffic flow. As a result, CAV technology has the potential to significantly reduce the number of traffic accidents, injuries, and fatalities, making the roads safer for everyone.

As CAVs can communicate with each other and with the surrounding infrastructure, they can operate more efficiently and smoothly than traditional vehicles. CAVs can use real-time data and advanced algorithms to optimize their routes and speeds, which can help to reduce traffic jams and bottlenecks. Moreover, CAVs can also travel closer together, which can increase the capacity of existing roads and highways. This, in turn, can help to reduce travel times and make commuting more convenient for people.

With automated vehicles, people who are unable to drive, such as the elderly or those with disabilities, can travel independently and safely. CAVs can also provide flexible and on-demand transportation services, such as ride-sharing and delivery services, which can improve access to goods and services for people in remote or underserved areas. This can have significant social and economic benefits, such as reducing social isolation and increasing employment opportunities in rural areas.

The development of Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) technology has been a result of significant advances in various fields, such as Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Robotics, and IoT (Internet of Things). CAVs are vehicles equipped with advanced sensors, control systems, and communication technologies that enable them to operate without human intervention. They use these technologies to analyze and interpret complex data from their environment, including traffic patterns, weather conditions, and road infrastructure, to make real-time decisions.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning play a crucial role in enabling CAVs to learn and adapt to changing environments, making them more efficient and safer. By processing vast amounts of data from sensors and other sources, CAVs can detect obstacles, identify road markings, and predict the behavior of other road users, such as pedestrians and cyclists. This requires high-speed communication networks and powerful computing systems that can process vast amounts of data quickly and accurately. CAVs use this data to make real-time decisions, such as adjusting their speed or route, to avoid accidents and optimize their performance.

The development of CAV technology has also led to advances in Robotics and IoT, enabling vehicles to communicate with each other and with roadside infrastructure. This connectivity allows CAVs to share information, such as traffic and road conditions, with other vehicles, and with traffic management systems, to optimize traffic flow and reduce congestion. Additionally, CAVs can communicate with smart traffic lights and other infrastructure, enabling them to adjust their speed and routing to avoid traffic jams and reduce emissions. The integration of CAVs with IoT technology also enables remote monitoring and maintenance, improving vehicle reliability and reducing downtime.

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) have transformed the way we travel and commute in recent years. As technology continues to evolve and improve, the next-generation ITS will offer even more advanced features and benefits. These systems will integrate different modes of transportation, including cars, public transportation, and even bicycles, to create a seamless travel experience for users. The next-generation ITS will incorporate advanced sensors, artificial intelligence, and machine learning to enable safer, more efficient, and sustainable transportation.

These vehicles will be equipped with advanced sensors and navigation systems, allowing them to communicate with other vehicles and transportation infrastructure. The autonomous vehicles will be able to travel faster, more safely, and more efficiently, leading to a reduction in traffic congestion and travel times. Moreover, the ITS will be able to provide real-time information to drivers, enabling them to make informed decisions about their travel routes and modes of transportation.

The next-generation ITS will also focus on sustainability and environmental impact. These systems will encourage the use of public transportation, carpooling, and other sustainable modes of transportation. The ITS will also incorporate green technologies, such as electric vehicles, into transportation infrastructure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants.

These systems will collect vast amounts of data about traffic flow, travel patterns, and transportation usage, which will be analyzed using machine learning and artificial intelligence algorithms. The insights gained from this analysis will be used to optimize transportation systems and improve overall efficiency. For example, the ITS may recommend alternative routes or modes of transportation based on real-time traffic data, enabling users to avoid congestion and travel more quickly.

Levels of automation in CAV

Automation levels in CAVs range from Level 0, where the driver has full control, to Level 5, where the vehicle is fully autonomous. The higher the level of automation, the less human input is required, and the more the vehicle can operate on its own. In this section, we will discuss the various levels of automation in CAVs and the potential benefits they offer, particularly in improving traffic flow.

Level 0 automation is where the driver has complete control of the vehicle, and there is no automation involved. This is the traditional way of driving, where the driver is responsible for accelerating, braking, steering, and all other vehicle operations. In Level 0, the driver is entirely responsible for the vehicle's movements, and there is no automation involved. While this level of automation allows drivers to have complete control over their vehicle, it also means that they are solely responsible for any errors or accidents that may occur.

Level 1 automation involves some basic automation features, such as Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) or Lane Departure Warning (LDW). ACC uses sensors to monitor the distance between the driver's vehicle and the vehicle in front of it, automatically adjusting the speed to maintain a safe following distance. LDW alerts the driver when the vehicle is drifting out of its lane. While Level 1 automation involves some level of automation, the driver is still required to maintain control of the vehicle.

Level 2 automation builds on Level 1 automation by adding more advanced features such as Lane Keep Assist (LKA) and Automated Emergency Braking (AEB). LKA uses sensors to keep the vehicle centered in its lane, while AEB automatically applies the brakes to avoid collisions with other vehicles or obstacles. At this level of automation, the vehicle can control steering, acceleration, and braking, but the driver must still be attentive and ready to take control of the vehicle at any time.

Level 3 automation represents a significant step forward in vehicle automation. At this level, the vehicle can perform most driving tasks, including accelerating, braking, and steering. However, the driver must still be present and ready to take control of the vehicle if necessary. The vehicle's sensors and cameras monitor the surrounding environment, and the onboard computer makes decisions based on that information. While the vehicle can operate autonomously, the driver is still required to be present and attentive.

Level 4 automation is where the vehicle is fully autonomous and can operate in most driving situations without any human input. At this level, the vehicle's sensors and cameras can detect and respond to obstacles, other vehicles, and road

Table 1. automation levels

Level	Name	Description	Driver Responsibility
0	No Automation	The driver is fully responsible for operating the vehicle.	The driver is responsible for all driving tasks and monitoring the vehicle at all times.
1	Driver Assistance	The vehicle has one or more systems that provide steering, acceleration, or braking assistance.	The driver is responsible for monitoring and controlling the vehicle, but with the assistance of the vehicle's systems.
2	Partial Automation	The vehicle has two or more systems that provide steering, acceleration, and braking assistance.	The systems work together to control the vehicle, but the driver is still responsible for monitoring and controlling the vehicle.
3	Conditional Automation	The vehicle can perform all driving tasks under certain conditions, such as on highways.	The driver is responsible for monitoring, and can be required to take over control of the vehicle if necessary.
4	High Automation	The vehicle can perform all driving tasks under certain conditions, such as on highways or in designated areas.	The driver is not required to monitor the vehicle but may still have the option to take control if necessary.
5	Full Automation	The vehicle can perform all driving tasks in any condition or environment.	The driver is not required to monitor the vehicle and does not have the option to take control. The vehicle is fully responsible for driving.

conditions. The onboard computer makes all driving decisions, and the driver is not required to be present. However, the vehicle's capabilities may be limited to certain areas or driving conditions.

Level 5 automation is the highest level of automation, where the vehicle is fully autonomous and can operate in all driving situations without any human input. The vehicle's sensors and cameras can detect and respond to any obstacles, other vehicles, and road conditions. The onboard computer makes all driving decisions, and the driver is not required to be present. This level of automation is still in the testing phase, and it may be several years before fully autonomous vehicles are available to the public.

Higher levels of automation have the potential to greatly improve traffic flow. With increased automation, vehicles can communicate with each other and optimize traffic patterns, reducing traffic congestion and improving overall traffic flow. For example, in a fully automated traffic system, vehicles could merge onto highways without slowing down or stopping, and intersections could be managed more efficiently, reducing the need for traffic lights.

Additionally, higher levels of automation can greatly reduce the number of accidents caused by human error. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, human error is a factor in over 90% of accidents. With increased automation, vehicles can avoid many of the common errors that humans make, such as distracted driving, speeding, and failing to yield. As a result, accidents and fatalities could be significantly reduced, making roads safer for everyone.

Another benefit of higher levels of automation is increased mobility for those who are unable to drive, such as the elderly or disabled. Fully autonomous vehicles could provide a means of transportation for those who are unable to operate a vehicle, increasing their independence and quality of life.

However, there are also concerns associated with higher levels of automation. One concern is cybersecurity. As vehicles become more connected and automated, they become more vulnerable to cyber attacks. A hacker could potentially take control of a vehicle's systems, causing it to malfunction or even crash. As a result, cybersecurity will be an important consideration as more vehicles become connected and automated.

Another concern is the impact on employment. As more jobs become automated, there is a risk that some workers could be displaced. The transportation industry, in particular, could be significantly impacted by the adoption of CAVs. However, it's also possible that new jobs could be created as a result of the increased demand for engineers, technicians,

and other professionals with skills in automated vehicle technology.

Traffic flow models proposed for CAVs

Traffic flow models can be classified into three categories based on the level of detail they incorporate. These categories are microscopic, mesoscopic, and macroscopic models. In the context of connected and automated vehicles (CAVs), researchers have proposed traffic flow models in each of these categories.

Table 2. Traffic flow models' strengths

Traffic flow model	**Strengths**
Microscopic	High level of detail and accuracy, can simulate individual driver behaviors, useful for safety-related issues
Mesoscopic	More computationally efficient than microscopic models, can simulate traffic flow over a larger area, useful for testing traffic management strategies
Macroscopic	Most computationally efficient, can simulate traffic flow over large regions, useful for long-term planning and policy analysis

Microscopic models:

Microscopic models are a type of traffic simulation model that focus on individual vehicles and their interactions with the environment. Two types of microscopic models are car-following models and lane-changing models. Car-following models simulate how individual vehicles behave and interact with neighboring vehicles, and are commonly used in traffic simulation software. These models can be adapted to incorporate the different acceleration and deceleration capabilities of CAVs compared to human-driven vehicles. On the other hand, lane-changing models simulate how vehicles change lanes based on their surroundings and can be modified to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities. This enables the vehicles to better coordinate their lane changes, resulting in more efficient and safer traffic flow. By using these microscopic models, researchers can better understand the behavior of CAVs in different traffic scenarios and develop strategies to optimize their performance.

Car-following models capture the dynamics of how drivers respond to the movements of their surrounding vehicles while considering factors such as speed, distance, and time headway. They are used extensively in traffic simulation software to predict and evaluate traffic flow and congestion. With the advent of connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs), car-following models have become even more critical. CAVs can communicate with other vehicles and the infrastructure, allowing them to adjust their speeds and distances from other vehicles based on real-time traffic information. Car-following models can be modified to incorporate these communication capabilities and account for the different acceleration and deceleration capabilities of CAVs compared to human-driven vehicles. The incorporation of CAVs into car-following models allows transportation engineers to evaluate the potential impacts of this emerging technology on traffic flow and safety.

The accuracy of car-following models depends on the accuracy of the underlying assumptions about driver behavior. These assumptions include factors such as driver reaction time, perception distance, and vehicle characteristics. The models are often calibrated using field data collected from instrumented vehicles or using data from video recordings of traffic. The addition of CAVs into car-following models introduces new challenges, such as the need to consider the effects of communication delays and potential cyber threats on CAV behavior. However, it also presents an opportunity to improve the accuracy of the models by incorporating real-time data from CAV sensors and communication devices. The incorporation of CAVs into car-following models also enables the evaluation of different CAV technologies and scenarios, such as platooning or intersection management, to assess their impact on traffic flow and safety.

Lane-changing models are a crucial component of transportation modeling and simulation software used by engineers to evaluate traffic operations and safety. These models capture the behavior of drivers as they change lanes based on factors such as speed, distance, and the position of neighboring vehicles. Lane-changing models can also be adapted to account for CAVs' communication capabilities, allowing vehicles to communicate with each other and coordinate their lane changes more efficiently. By incorporating CAVs into lane-changing models, transportation engineers can evaluate the potential impacts of this emerging technology on traffic flow and safety.

The effectiveness of lane-changing models depends on the accuracy of the assumptions about driver behavior and the environment. Lane-changing models can be calibrated using field data, such as video recordings or instrumented vehicle data, to ensure that the models accurately represent driver behavior. Incorporating CAVs into lane-changing models introduces new challenges, such as the need to consider communication delays and potential cyber threats that could impact CAV behavior. However, it also presents opportunities to improve the accuracy of the models by incorporating real-time data from CAV sensors and communication devices. The incorporation of CAVs into lane-changing models can also enable the evaluation of different CAV technologies and scenarios, such as cooperative lane changing or platooning, to assess their impact on traffic flow and safety.

Mesoscopic models:

Mesoscopic models are another type of traffic simulation model that aim to capture the behavior of a group of vehicles, rather than individual ones. Two examples of mesoscopic models are the Cell Transmission Model (CTM) and the Intelligent Driver Model (IDM). The CTM divides a roadway into cells and tracks the flow of vehicles between them, making it a useful tool for understanding traffic congestion and optimizing traffic flow. Like other models, CTM can also be adapted to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities, resulting in more efficient and safer traffic flow. On the other hand, the IDM simulates the behavior of individual drivers in a simplified manner and can be modified to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities. This allows for better coordination and safety among vehicles, as CAVs can communicate and adapt their behavior to their surroundings. Overall, mesoscopic models are an important tool for researchers to better understand how CAVs can improve traffic flow and safety.

Mesoscopic models are an important tool for simulating traffic flow and understanding the behavior of vehicles on a roadway. One of the key advantages of mesoscopic models over microscopic models is their computational efficiency. By dividing a roadway into cells or zones, mesoscopic models can simulate traffic flow over a larger area than microscopic models, while still providing a useful level of detail. This makes mesoscopic models a powerful tool for testing traffic management strategies and evaluating the impact of changes to the roadway network.

The Cell Transmission Model (CTM) is a mesoscopic model that divides a roadway into cells and tracks the flow of vehicles between cells. One of the key advantages of CTM is its ability to incorporate communication capabilities of connected and automated vehicles (CAVs). By allowing CAVs to communicate with each other and with the infrastructure, CTM can improve the efficiency of traffic flow and reduce congestion. CTM can also be used to evaluate the impact of different traffic management strategies, such as ramp metering or variable speed limits.

The Intelligent Driver Model (IDM) is another mesoscopic model that simulates the behavior of individual drivers in a simplified manner. IDM is based on the idea that drivers adjust their speed and distance to maintain a safe following distance from the vehicle in front of them. IDM can also be modified to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities and take advantage of the improved safety and coordination that CAVs can provide. By simulating the behavior of individual drivers and their interactions with CAVs, IDM can provide insights into how CAVs can improve traffic flow and reduce congestion.

While mesoscopic models offer several advantages over microscopic models, they do have some limitations. One of the main challenges of mesoscopic models is the need for a significant amount of data input to properly capture traffic flow dynamics. This data can include information about the roadway network, traffic volumes, and driver behavior. Additionally, mesoscopic models may not accurately capture the effect of individual driver behaviors on traffic flow. This is because mesoscopic models simulate the behavior of groups of drivers, rather than individual drivers. As a result, mesoscopic models may not fully capture the impact of aggressive driving, lane changing, or other individual behaviors that can affect traffic flow.

Despite these limitations, mesoscopic models remain an important tool for traffic simulation and management. By providing a balance between computational efficiency and level of detail, mesoscopic models can help transportation planners and policymakers evaluate the impact of different traffic management strategies, as well as the potential benefits of connected and automated vehicles.

Macroscopic models:

Macroscopic models are a type of traffic simulation model that focus on the overall behavior of traffic flow. Two examples of macroscopic models are the Lighthill-Whitham-Richards (LWR) model and the

Greenshield's model. The LWR model uses partial differential equations to describe the flow of traffic and can be adapted to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities. This allows for improved coordination and safety among vehicles, as CAVs can communicate and adapt their behavior to their surroundings. On the other hand, Greenshield's model assumes a uniform flow of traffic and can be adapted to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities. This model is particularly useful for accounting for the variations in traffic flow that CAVs can create. Macroscopic models are an important tool for understanding the overall behavior of traffic flow and how CAVs can be integrated into existing transportation systems.

Macroscopic models are highly computationally efficient and can provide insight into overall traffic flow patterns and trends. Macroscopic models are particularly useful for long-term planning and policy analysis, as they can help transportation planners and policymakers understand the impact of changes to the roadway network or shifts in population patterns on traffic flow. However, macroscopic models do have some limitations.

The Lighthill-Whitham-Richards (LWR) model is a macroscopic model that uses partial differential equations to describe the flow of traffic. LWR can be adapted to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities and take advantage of the improved coordination and safety that CAVs can provide. By modeling the flow of traffic at a macroscopic level, LWR can provide insights into how CAVs can improve overall traffic flow and reduce congestion.

Greenshield's model is another macroscopic model that assumes a uniform flow of traffic. This model can be adapted to incorporate CAVs' communication capabilities and better account for the variations in traffic flow that CAVs can create. Greenshield's model is useful for understanding how changes to the roadway network, such as adding new lanes or changing speed limits, can affect overall traffic flow. However, like all macroscopic models, Greenshield's model lacks the level of detail necessary to capture individual vehicle movements and driver behaviors.

One of the main limitations of macroscopic models is their lack of detail. Because macroscopic models simulate traffic flow at a high level, they may not capture the effects of specific traffic management strategies or individual driver behaviors. For example, macroscopic models may not be able to accurately capture the impact of aggressive driving, lane changing, or other individual behaviors that can affect traffic flow. As a result, macroscopic models may not be the best choice for evaluating the impact of specific traffic management strategies on traffic flow. However, macroscopic models remain a valuable tool for long-term planning and policy analysis, and can provide important insights into overall traffic flow patterns and trends.

Table 3. Traffic flow models' limitations

Traffic flow model	**Limitations**
Microscopic	Require large data input and computational resources, difficult to scale up to large networks,

	limited applicability for policy analysis
Mesoscopic	Require significant data input to capture traffic flow dynamics, may not accurately capture individual driver behaviors
Macroscopic	Lack the level of detail necessary to capture individual vehicle movements and driver behaviors, may not capture effects of specific traffic management strategies

Conclusion

The development of CAV technology has been a result of significant advances in various fields, such as Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Robotics, and IoT. These technologies enable CAVs to analyze and interpret complex data from their environment, make real-time decisions, and communicate with other vehicles and roadside infrastructure. The future of transportation is rapidly evolving, and the widespread adoption of CAV technology is expected to transform the way we travel, making it safer, more efficient, and sustainable.

The various levels of automation in CAVs offer a range of benefits and challenges. Higher levels of automation have the potential to greatly improve traffic flow, reduce accidents, and increase mobility for those who are unable to drive. However, there are also concerns associated with higher levels of automation, such as cybersecurity and employment impacts. As the technology continues to develop, it's important to carefully consider these factors and ensure that the benefits of CAVs are maximized while minimizing any negative impacts.

traffic flow models are essential tools for traffic management and planning. They provide insights into traffic behavior and enable traffic engineers to evaluate and test different traffic scenarios. Microscopic, mesoscopic, and macroscopic models are three categories of traffic flow models that differ in their level of detail and complexity. Each type of model has its strengths and weaknesses and can be adapted to incorporate the communication capabilities of connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs).

The development of CAVs is leading to a significant evolution of traffic flow models, as they enable new capabilities and more efficient traffic flow. With their communication capabilities, CAVs can better coordinate their movements, resulting in improved traffic flow, reduced congestion, and increased safety. Therefore, traffic flow models must continue to evolve and adapt to incorporate the latest advances in CAV technology. As CAVs become more prevalent on our roads, traffic flow models will continue to play a critical role in understanding and managing traffic flow.

When modeling CAV traffic flow, it is important to take into account other factors that can influence the system, such as human-driven vehicles, road infrastructure, and communication protocols. These factors can significantly impact CAV performance, and ignoring them can lead to inaccurate simulations and predictions.

Human-driven vehicles are likely to coexist with CAVs for many years to come. This means that CAVs will have to navigate roads with a mix of human and autonomous drivers. Human drivers may not always follow traffic rules and may be unpredictable, which can lead to disruptions in traffic flow. Therefore, modeling CAV traffic flow should take into account the interactions between human-driven vehicles and CAVs.

Road infrastructure can also impact CAV traffic flow. For example, poorly designed intersections, limited capacity, or a lack of traffic control devices can lead to traffic congestion and slow down traffic flow. On the other hand, well-designed infrastructure that is optimized for CAVs can lead to more efficient traffic flow and reduce congestion. Therefore, modeling CAV traffic flow should also consider the impact of road infrastructure on traffic flow.

Finally, communication protocols are critical to ensuring the safe and efficient operation of CAVs. Communication protocols allow CAVs to share information with other vehicles, traffic management centers, and infrastructure. This information can be used to optimize traffic flow and improve safety. However, different communication protocols may have different performance characteristics, and it is important to select the right protocol for a given scenario. Therefore, modeling CAV traffic flow should also consider the impact of communication protocols on traffic flow. When modeling CAV traffic flow, it is important to take into account other factors that can impact the system. Human-driven vehicles, road infrastructure, and communication protocols can significantly impact CAV performance, and ignoring them can lead to inaccurate simulations and predictions. By considering these factors, transportation planners and policymakers can develop better strategies for deploying CAVs and optimizing traffic flow.

References

[1] J. Rios-Torres and A. A. Malikopoulos, "Impact of connected and automated vehicles on traffic flow," in *2017 IEEE 20th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, 2017, pp. 1–6.
[2] H. Farah, "State of Art on Infrastructure for Automated Vehicles," *December). Verkregen van http://knowledgeagenda. connekt. nl/engels/impact-infrastructure*, 2016.
[3] S. W. Loke, "Cooperative automated vehicles: A review of opportunities and challenges in socially intelligent vehicles beyond networking," *arXiv [cs.AI]*, 01-Oct-2017.
[4] C. Cavoli, B. Phillips, and T. Cohen, "Social and behavioural questions associated with automated vehicles: A literature review," *UCL Transport Institute*, 2017.
[5] D. Hale *et al.*, "Introduction of cooperative vehicle-to-infrastructure systems to improve speed harmonization," 2016.
[6] A. Nikitas, I. Kougias, E. Alyavina, and E. Njoya Tchouamou, "How Can Autonomous and Connected Vehicles, Electromobility, BRT, Hyperloop, Shared Use Mobility and Mobility-As-A-Service Shape Transport Futures for the Context of

Smart Cities?," *Urban Science,* vol. 1, no. 4, p. 36, Nov. 2017.
[7] D. Tian, G. Wu, K. Boriboonsomsin, and M. J. Barth, "A Research Report from the National Center for Sustainable Transportation," *escholarship.org*.
[8] C. G. Cassandras, "Automating mobility in smart cities," *Annu. Rev. Control*, vol. 44, pp. 1–8, Jan. 2017.
[9] S. E. Li, Y. Zheng, K. Li, L.-Y. Wang, and H. Zhang, "Platoon Control of Connected Vehicles from a Networked Control Perspective: Literature Review, Component Modeling, and Controller Synthesis," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, pp. 1–1, 2017.
[10] J. Ma *et al.*, "Freeway Speed Harmonization," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 78–89, Mar. 2016.
[11] S. E. Li *et al.*, "Dynamical Modeling and Distributed Control of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Challenges and Opportunities," *IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 46–58, Fall 2017.
[12] T. Li and K. M. Kockelman, "Valuing the safety benefits of connected and automated vehicle technologies," in *Transportation Research Board 95th Annual Meeting*, 2016, vol. 1.
[13] P. Fussey and G. Parisis, "Poster: An In-Vehicle Software Defined Network Architecture for Connected and Automated Vehicles," in *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Workshop on Smart, Autonomous, and Connected Vehicular Systems and Services*, Snowbird, Utah, USA, 2017, pp. 73–74.
[14] T. S. Stephens, J. Gonder, Y. Chen, Z. Lin, C. Liu, and D. Gohlke, "Estimated bounds and important factors for fuel use and consumer costs of connected and automated vehicles," National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States), 2016.
[15] R. Johri, J. Rao, H. Yu, and H. Zhang, "A Multi-Scale Spatiotemporal Perspective of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Applications and Wireless Networking," *IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 65–73, Summer 2016.
[16] C. Y. D. Yang, K. Ozbay, and X. (jeff) Ban, "Developments in connected and automated vehicles," *J. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 251–254, Jul. 2017.
[17] H. Jiang, J. Hu, S. An, M. Wang, and B. B. Park, "Eco approaching at an isolated signalized intersection under partially connected and automated vehicles environment," *Transp. Res. Part C: Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 79, pp. 290–307, Jun. 2017.
[18] P. (taylor) Li and X. Zhou, "Recasting and optimizing intersection automation as a connected-and-automated-vehicle (CAV) scheduling problem: A sequential branch-and-bound search approach in phase-time-traffic hypernetwork," *Trans. Res. Part B: Methodol.*, vol. 105, pp. 479–506, Nov. 2017.
[19] K. M. Kockelman *et al.*, "Implications of connected and automated vehicles on the safety and operations of roadway networks: A final report," trid.trb.org, FHWA/TX-16/0-6849-1, Aug. 2016.
[20] K. Morrow, D. Karner, and J. E. Francfort, "Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle charging infrastructure review." Battelle, 2008.

[21] L. Gan, U. Topcu, and S. H. Low, “Optimal decentralized protocol for electric vehicle charging,” *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 940–951, May 2013.
[22] Z. Liu, F. Wen, and G. Ledwich, “Optimal Planning of Electric-Vehicle Charging Stations in Distribution Systems,” *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 102–110, Jan. 2013.
[23] L. Yanxia and J. Jiuchun, “Harmonic-study of electric vehicle chargers,” in *2005 International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, 2005, vol. 3, pp. 2404-2407 Vol. 3.
[24] S. Deilami, A. S. Masoum, P. S. Moses, and M. A. S. Masoum, “Real-Time Coordination of Plug-In Electric Vehicle Charging in Smart Grids to Minimize Power Losses and Improve Voltage Profile,” *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 456–467, Sep. 2011.
[25] G. Li and X.-P. Zhang, “Modeling of Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle Charging Demand in Probabilistic Power Flow Calculations,” *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 492–499, Mar. 2012.
[26] S. Bashash, S. J. Moura, J. C. Forman, and H. K. Fathy, “Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle charge pattern optimization for energy cost and battery longevity,” *J. Power Sources*, vol. 196, no. 1, pp. 541–549, Jan. 2011.
[27] J. M. Miller, O. C. Onar, and M. Chinthavali, “Primary-Side Power Flow Control of Wireless Power Transfer for Electric Vehicle Charging,” *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 147–162, Mar. 2015.
[28] S. Habib, M. Kamran, and U. Rashid, “Impact analysis of vehicle-to-grid technology and charging strategies of electric vehicles on distribution networks--a review,” *J. Power Sources*, vol. 277, pp. 205–214, 2015.